Research Article

Formulation and Evaluation of In Situ Gelling Systems for Intranasal Administration of Gastrodin

Zheng Cai,^{1,2} Xiangrong Song,³ Feng Sun,⁴ Zhaoxiang Yang,² Shixiang Hou,³ and Zhongqiu Liu^{1,5}

Received 4 May 2011; accepted 10 August 2011; published online 31 August 2011

Abstract. Gastrodin is the major bioactive constituent of the traditional Chinese drug "Tianma." It is used in the treatment of some nervous system diseases and can be transported to the brain via intranasal administration. In the current paper, the development of a novel ion-activated in situ gelling system for the nasal delivery of gastrodin is discussed. An in situ perfusion model was used to determine the absorption-rate constant of gastrodin through rat nasal mucosa. The optimal formulation was determined by measuring the critical cation concentration, anti-dilution capacity, gel expansion coefficient, water-holding capacity, and adhesive capacity. The best formulation consisted of 10% gastrodin, 0.5% deacetylated gellan gum as the gelatinizer, and 0.03% ethylparaben as the preservative. The rheological properties of gastrodin nasal in situ gels were also investigated. The viscosity and elasticity sharply increased at temperatures below 25°C. When physiological concentrations of cations were added into the preparation, the mixture gelled into a semi-solid. The results of an accelerated stability test show that gastrodin nasal in situ gels can be stable for more than 2 years. Mucociliary toxicity was evaluated using the *in situ* toad palate model and the rat nasal mucociliary method; both models demonstrated no measurable ciliotoxicity. Pharmacodynamic studies suggest that similar acesodyne and sedative effects were induced following intranasal administration of 50 mg/kg gastrodin nasal in situ gels or oral administration of 100 mg/kg gastrodin solution. The in situ gel preparation is a safe and effective nasal delivery system for gastrodin.

KEY WORDS: deacetylated gellan gum; gastrodin; gelling system; intranasal delivery; ion-activated.

INTRODUCTION

Gastrodin (*p*-hydroxymethylphenyl-β-D-glucopyranoside; Fig. 1), the main bioactive component of the traditional Chinese drug "Tianma" (Rhizoma Gastrodiae), is used in the treatment of central nervous system diseases such as vertigo, headache, insomnia, neuralgia, neurasthenia, and epilepsy (1-3). Gastrodin has attracted interest because of its low toxicity and therapeutic efficacy. Gastrodin acts on the brain to produce central inhibitory effects (4), but does not easily pass through the blood-brain barrier due to its hydrophilicity. Pharmacokinetic studies demonstrated that gastrodin can be directly transported from the nasal cavity to the brain through the olfactory mucosa (5,6). Therefore, an intranasal administration of gastrodin is a promising alternative to traditional administration.

Most conventional liquid formulations for nasal delivery have low viscosity and thus are prone to running off, greatly reducing mucosal residence time and bioavailability. In situ gelling systems present a novel approach to sustaining mucosal contact and prolonging the release time in the nasal cavity (7). When nasal in situ gels are dripped or sprayed into the nasal cavity as low viscosity solutions, the polymers react to form more viscous gels that tightly adhere to the nasal mucosa, thereby sustaining the release and contact time. Thus, the use of nasal gels results in improved local and systemic bioavailability, reduces dose requirements, and improves patient safety and acceptability (8).

Gellan gum is a linear, anionic polysaccharide secreted by the bacterium Pseudomonas elodea. Deacetylated gellan gum (DGG) is approved in the USA and EU as a gelling, stabilizing, and suspending agent in food products (9). It can form strong clear gels at physiological ion concentrations and has been widely investigated for use as an in situ gelling agent (10-12). The cation-induced gelation of DGG is attributed to the formation of double-helical junction zones followed by the inter-helical association to form a three-dimensional network via binding with cations (13). Human nasal mucus contains sufficient sodium, potassium, and calcium ions. Therefore, a solution-gel phase transition will occur when DGG is administered into the nasal cavity (14).

In the current study, a highly efficient in situ gelling system based on DGG was formulated for the nasal delivery



¹School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China.

² Institute of Pharmaceutical Research, Kunming Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Kunming, China.

³West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China.

⁴ Guangzhou Baiyunshan Pharmaceutical General Factory, Guangzhou, China.

⁵ To whom correspondence should be addressed. (e-mail: liuzq@smu. edu.cn)



Fig. 1. Chemical structure of gastrodin

of gastrodin by measuring the critical cation concentration, anti-dilution capacity, gel expansion coefficient, water-holding capacity, and adhesive capacity of different concentrations of DGG. The rheological characteristics, stability, mucociliary toxicity, and pharmacodynamic action of the gastrodin *in situ* gels were evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Gastrodin (99.7% purity) was synthesized and its purity was determined by Kunming Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (Kunming, China). DGG was purchased from Zhejiang Tianwei Biochemistry Products Co. Ltd. (Shaoxin, China). The ion compositions of the artificial nasal fluid (ANF) consisted of 150 ± 32 mM Na⁺, 41 ± 18 mM K⁺, and 4 ± 2 mM Ca²⁺ (15). Water was deionized and then double distilled. Other chemical reagents were of chromatographic or analytical grade and commercially available.

Animals

Healthy male Sprague–Dawley rats (230–270 g), male Kunming strain mice (18–22 g), and male toads (30–40 g) were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of Sichuan University (China). Prior to experimentation, the animals were acclimated for at least one week to a 12-h light/ dark cycle with free access to standard chow and water. The animals were fasted overnight but supplied with water *ad libitum* before the experiments. All experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sichuan University.

Nasal Perfusion Studies in Rats

In Situ Nasal Perfusion

Rats were surgically treated according to a previously described method (16,17). A 10-mL physiological saline containing 100, 200, or 400 µg/mL gastrodin was circulated through the rat nasal cavity at $37\pm0.5^{\circ}$ C for 120 min (n=3) using a peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 2.0 mL/min. Aliquots (50 µl) were drawn at predetermined time intervals (0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 80, 100, and 120 min), and an equal volume of isotonic saline was added in the meantime. The concentrations of gastrodin in the perfusion fluid (C) were determined using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The absorption-rate constants (k_a) were calculated via the linear regression analysis of lnC versus time (t) (18).

Gastrodin HPLC Determination

All samples were centrifuged at $8,000 \times g$ for 15 min and directly injected into the Agilent 1100 series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, USA) for analysis. The system was equipped with a quaternary pump, vacuum degasser, column thermostat, 20 µL injector loop, UV detector, and HP ChemStation software. The separation was performed on a Diamonsil C₁₈ column (150×4.6 mm, i.d., 5.0 µm; Dikma Technologies, China) under the following chromatographic conditions: column temperature, 25°C; sample injection volume, 20 µL; flow rate, 1.0 mL/min; detection wavelength, 221 nm; mobile phase, acetonitrile/water (6.5:93.5) (19). The method was validated over the concentration range of 20–500 µg/mL. Intra- and inter-day precision (RSD%) were all within 5%, and the accuracy ranged from 96% to 103%.

Preparation of the Formulations

A certain amount of DGG (0.2%-1.0%, w/v), gastrodin (10%, w/v), and ethylparaben (0.03%, w/v) were mixed with deionized water, stirred in a water bath at 90°C until all solids were dissolved, and cooled to room temperature. In this formulation, DGG was used as a gelatinizer, and ethylparaben as a preservative.

Critical Cation Concentration for DGG Phase Transition

The critical cation concentration (CCC) for phase transition is an important parameter for ion-activated *in situ* gels. When *in situ* gel cation concentrations exceed the CCC, phase transition (solution to gel) will occur instantaneously (20). The CCC of DGG was determined by mixing different concentrations (0.2–1.0%) of DGG solution (1.0 mL) and various amounts of ANF (0.05–0.30 mL) in cillin bottles placed in a water bath at 32°C. After 20 s, the bottles were turned over. If the gels adhered to the bottom instead of flowing or sliding down the side, the formulation showed gel formation and was considered "+", whereas flowing/sliding formulations were considered "–". The minimum ANF concentration in the mixture that could induce gel formation was estimated as the CCC.

Dilution Effect of the Nasal Fluid on the Gelation of the *In Situ* Gels

Nasal fluid can dilute the DGG and affect the gelation of the *in situ* gel. The nasal cavity contains approximately $100 \,\mu$ L of nasal mucus. Using nasal *in situ* gel volumes of 100–200 μ L, the

volume ratios were set from 1:1 to 1:2. Different DGG solution concentrations (0.3–0.6%, 1.0 mL) and various amounts of ANF (0.4–1.0 mL) were mixed in cillin bottles and placed in a water bath at 32°C. After 20 s, the bottles were turned over. If the gels adhered to the bottle wall and did not slide down, the formulation was considered "+", whereas a flowing or sliding solution was considered "–".

Effect of the DGG Concentration on the Expansion Coefficient of the *In Situ* Gels

When the solution transforms into a gel, its volume may increase. The nasal cavity is very small; thus, gel expansion may cause discomfort. Therefore, investigating the expansion coefficient of the *in situ* gels is necessary. Different DGG solution concentrations (0.4–0.6%, 1.0 mL) were mixed with 0.25 mL ANF in a graduated test tube and placed in a water bath at 32°C with a final total liquid volume, $V_{\rm M}$, of 1.25 mL. The volume of the gel after transition, $V_{\rm G}$, was determined by adding 2.0 mL of ANF and recording the total volume V_1 . Thus, $V_{\rm G}=V_1-2.0$, and the expansion coefficient (S%)= $(V_{\rm G}-V_{\rm M})/V_{\rm M}\times100\%$.

Effect of the DGG Concentration on the *In Situ* Gel Water-Holding Capacity

Different DGG solution concentrations (0.2%–1.0%, 1.0 mL) were mixed with 0.25 mL of ANF in pre-weighed centrifuge tubes for gel formation. The weight of the gels was measured as W_0 . The gels were centrifuged at $8,000 \times g$ for 10 min, and the seepage water was removed with filter paper. The gel was reweighed to determine the weight of held water (*W*). The water-holding capacity of the gels= $W/W_0 \times 100\%$ (21).

Effect of the DGG Concentration on the *In Situ* Gels Adhesive Capacity

The adhesive capacity of the *in situ* gels was evaluated using a novel *in situ* toad model. A toad was fixed onto a board, with its mouth opened with hemostats to expose the palate. Approximately 1 mL of ANF was dripped onto the mucosa of the palate to keep it moist. Physiological saline (25 μ L) with 0.5% aniline blue was then dripped into the eye socket of the toad palate. The time from the administration of aniline blue until the blue color completely faded from the mucosal surface was recorded as the cilia clearance time (T_0). The mucosal surface was then cleaned with ANF. After 10 min, the cilia clearance time of the DGG solution with 0.5% aniline was measured and taken as T_1 . The relative percentage change in the cilia clearance time ($=T_1/T_0 \times 100\%$) was used as a measure of the adhesive capacity of the *in situ* gels.

Effect of Cold Storage Time on the In Situ Gels Particle Size

Gastrodin (10%, w/v), DGG (0.5%, w/v), and ethylparaben (0.03%, w/v) were mixed with deionized water, stirred in a water bath at 90°C until all solids were dissolved, and cooled to room temperature. The solution was filtered through a glass funnel, and deionized water was added to adjust the concentration. The liquid was stored at 4°C and sampled at 0, 6, 12, and 24 h to determine the particle size and Zeta potential.

Rheological Properties of the In Situ Gels

The rheology of gastrodin nasal *in situ* gels was measured using an advanced dynamic rheometer (Gemini 200, BOH-LIN Instruments, UK). The *in situ* gels are a non-Newtonian fluid. The rheological parameters measured include the elastic modulus (G', in Pa), the viscous modulus (G'', in Pa), and the phase angle (δ , in degrees). The experimental conditions for the tested samples are outlined below.

Sample 1 was the gastrodin nasal *in situ* gels. The experimental conditions were scanning temperature range, $5-60^{\circ}$ C; oscillation frequency, 1 Hz; strain amplitude, 5%; and heating rate, 2° C/min.

Sample 2 was 4-mL gastrodin nasal *in situ* gel mixed with different amounts of ANF (0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 mL). The experimental conditions were: temperature, $32\pm0.1^{\circ}$ C; frequency range, 0.1–2.0 Hz; and strain amplitude, 5%.

In Situ Gel Stability

The stability of the gastrodin nasal *in situ* gels was assessed using an acceleration stability test. A quantity of gastrodin *in situ* gel in cillin bottles was stored in a desiccator containing a saturated solution of sodium chloride, which provided a relative humidity of $75\pm5\%$. The desiccator was placed in a hot air oven maintained at $40\pm2^{\circ}$ C, and the samples were withdrawn at 0, 1, 2, 3, and 6 months. The appearance, CCC, pH value, content, and related substance were measured at predetermined time intervals.

Nasal Cilitoxicity of the In Situ Gels

Toad Palate Model

Nasal ciliotoxicity studies were conducted using an *in situ* toad palate model (14). The upper palate of the toad (n=6) was exposed and treated with approximately 0.5 mL gastrodin solution (10% gastrodin), blank *in situ* gel (0.5% DGG), or gastrodin *in situ* gel for 30 min. The tested sample was removed by washing the palate with physiological saline. A 3 mm×3 mm area of the palate was dissected and the mucocilia were examined under a microscope at ×400. Physiological saline was used as the negative control. The ciliary movement time (CMT) was recorded, and the relative percentage of CMT (RPC) was calculated as follows: RPC= CMT test/CMT control×100%.

Rat Model

Twelve SD rats were randomly divided into three groups of four rats each: the saline group, the gastrodin *in situ* nasal gel group, and the sodium deoxycholate (50 mg/mL) group. After shallow ether anesthesia, the rats were administered one of the three sample solutions at 0.3 mL/kg, three times a day for 7 days. They were sacrificed on the ninth day, and nasal mucosa samples were dissected (22). The tissue sections were rinsed in physiological saline and fixed overnight in 2.5% glutaraldehyde. The sections were then dehydrated through an ethanol series (30–100%), substituted with isoamyl acetate, critical point-dried with CO₂, and sputter-coated with gold. The

Formulation and Evaluation of In Situ Gelling Systems

prepared specimens were observed under a scanning electron microscope (JSM-5900LV, Tokvo, Japan).

Pharmacodynamic Actions of the In Situ Gels

Acetic Acid-Induced Writhing Test

All mice were divided randomly into five groups of ten mice each and administered one of the following treatments: 0.5 µL/g intranasal physiological saline (saline group), 100 mg/kg oral gastrodin (oral administration group), and intranasal gastrodin in situ gel at 25, 50, or 100 mg/kg (intranasal administration groups). After 40 min, mice were placed in a large glass cylinder and injected with acetic acid (0.6%, v/v, 10 mL/kg, i.p.). The intensity of nociceptive behavior was quantified by counting the total number of writhes observed between 0 and 10 min after stimulus injection. The writhing response consists of a contraction of the abdominal muscle with a stretching of the hind limbs. The antinociceptive activity of the treatments was expressed as writhing scores over a period of 10 min.

Pentobarbital Sodium-Induced Sleeping Time

All mice were divided randomly into five groups of ten mice each and administered one of the following treatments: $0.5 \,\mu L/g$ intranasal physiological saline (saline group), 100 mg/kg oral gastrodin (oral administration group), and intranasal gastrodin in situ gel at 25, 50, or 100 mg/kg (intranasal administration groups). After 1 h, mice were injected with sodium pentobarbitone at 40 mg/kg. The time between losing and regaining the righting reflex was recorded as the duration of sleep.

Statistical Analysis

7.00

6.00

5.00 In C

4.00

3.00

2.00 0

Data are presented as mean±SD, (unless otherwise specified). Significant differences were assessed using unpaired Student's t tests. A probability value of P < 0.05was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

20

Nasal Mucosa Permeability of Gastrodin

The results indicate that gastrodin can pass through the nasal mucosa via passive diffusion (Fig. 2). The absorption-



60

Time (min)

80

100

120

40

Volume of ANF (mL) Concentration 0.05 0.25 0.30 of DGG (%) 0.10 0.15 0.200.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0

Table I. Gelation Reaction of DGG with ANF (n=3)

(+) not slide, (-) slide

rate constants (k_a) of 100, 200, and 400 µg/mL gastrodin were 4.40×10^{-3} , 4.73×10^{-3} , and 5.19×10^{-3} min⁻¹, respectively. The average k_a value (4.77 × 10⁻³ min⁻¹) was significantly higher than that of tyrosine $(1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1})$, ginsenosides $(5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ min}^{-1})$ 10^{-4} min^{-1}) (23), or some other water-soluble drugs, possibly because of the lower molecular weight (286.27) of gastrodin. Hydrophilic gastrodin cannot easily pass through lipid membranes, but a lot of small water channels (two or three times more than in the jejunum), which allow the passage of small molecules and water-soluble drugs like gastrodin, are distributed in the nasal mucosa (24).

Critical Cation Concentration for DGG Phase Transition

DGG is ion sensitive, and the CCC of DGG was less than 20% (Table I). In mixtures with subcritical cation concentrations, DGG solutions rapidly formed colorless, odorless, and transparent gels. When the DGG concentration exceeded 0.6%, the solution became too viscous for convenient spraying. Thus, the optimal DGG concentration is below 0.6%.

Some studies chose a "stirring method" to investigate the phase transition of in situ gels (25,26). In this method, gel formation was evaluated by measuring the time required for controlled stirring of a known torque to stop. However, this method has several problems. First, drug delivery systems generally do not require gels to possess such high viscosity that can stop rotation. Second, controlling the rotation speed is difficult. In the current study, CCC was determined using a novel "timing inversion method" (27). The gel components





Table II. Adhesive Capacity of the DGG Gels Measured Via the
Toad Palate Method (n=5)

Concentration (%)	T_0 (min)	T_1 (min)	T_1/T_0 (%)
0.4	21±2.1	33±2.7	157.1
0.5	20 ± 2.3	38 ± 2.6	190.0
0.6	23 ± 2.6	45±3.2	195.7

were mixed in bottles and heated for a short period (20 s). The bottles were then inverted and gel formation was evaluated based on whether the contents stuck to the bottom or slid/flowed down the bottle sides. This simple method can evaluate the adhesion and the transformation speed, the two most important characteristics of *in situ* gels.

Effect of Nasal Fluid Dilution on the Gelation of the *In Situ* Gels

When the DGG concentration was less than 0.4%, the *in situ* gel was too easily diluted by other solutions mimicking mucous volumes and thus would not form a gel *in situ*. Therefore, the DGG concentration in the *in situ* gel should not be less than 0.4%; otherwise, ensuring gel formation *in situ* would be difficult.

In Situ Gel Expansion Coefficient

When the DGG solutions transformed into gels, no obvious volume expansion was observed. The expansion coefficient was only about 5% and was not affected by the DGG concentration. Slight expansion may not cause discomfort to patients when the *in situ* gel is administered into the nasal cavity.

Effect of the DGG Concentration on the *In Situ* Gel Water-Holding Capacity

The water-holding capacity is generally expressed as the amount of water the gel structure can hold, or the capacity of the gel to retain this water during storage or when subjected to external force. It is an important parameter for gel characterization. The DGG gels exhibited a water-holding capacity above 60% when subjected to a centrifugal force of $8,000 \times g$ for 10 min, which was similar to the results from a previous study (28). The DGG concentration had no significant effect on the water-holding capacity of the *in situ* gels (Fig. 3).



Fig. 4. Effect of the cold storage time on in situ gel particle size



Fig. 5. Elastic and viscous modulus of the *in situ* gels heated from $5^{\circ}C$ to $60^{\circ}C$

Effect of the DGG Concentration on the In Situ Gel Adhesion

Toad palate mucocilia are similar to human nasal cilia; thus, the toad palate model is commonly used to determine the ciliary clearance of microsphere particles (29). The method was improved by adding a stain to allow for the evaluation of the adhesion capacity of a colorless semi-solid or liquid nasal preparation. The solubility of the dye should be similar to that of the drug; otherwise it will not reflect the clearance of the drug. Gastrodin is easy soluble in water, so water-soluble aniline blue was chosen as the indicator. The adhesion of the 0.5% and 0.6% DGG solutions was similar and significantly stronger than that of 0.4% DGG (Table II). Therefore, the optimal DGG concentration in the *in situ* gel was 0.5% (m/v).

Effect of Cold Storage Time on the In Situ Gel Particle Size

The particle size of the *in situ* gels was significantly decreased with cold storage time (Fig. 4). Therefore, a 24 h cold storage time is needed to facilitate the dissolution of the polymer. The particle size and Zeta potential of the *in situ* gels after 24-h cold storage were 87.91 nm and -44.25 mV, respectively. The small particle size and high surface potential indicate that the *in situ* gelling system was stable.

Rheological Properties of the In Situ Gels

Methods for the determination of the rheological properties of gels can be divided into static testing and dynamic



Fig. 6. Phase angles of the *in situ* gels heated from 5°C to 60°C



Fig. 7. Elastic modulus of the *in situ* gels mixed with different amounts of ANF at 32°C



Fig. 9. Phase angles of the *in situ* gels mixed with different amounts of ANF at $32^{\circ}C$

testing. Static testing is a shear flow method that applies steady stress or strain, whereas dynamic testing applies periodic or oscillatory stress or strain. In the static rheological tests, continuous deformation may change, or even destroy the polymer morphology. The dynamic method usually uses smaller strains so that the testing process has little effect on the structure of the material itself, and instead tests the elastic response.

The elastic modulus describes the characteristic parameters of solid-state properties. It directly reflects the phase transition and strength of the gel. On the other hand, the viscous modulus describes the viscous deformation characteristics. The phase angle $(0^{\circ} < \delta < 90^{\circ})$ can clearly determine the state of the polymer. The higher the phase angle value, the higher the viscosity, and the fluid exhibits liquid-state characteristics. By contrast, a lower phase angle indicates more obvious elasticity or solid-state characteristics. These parameters can clearly characterize the dynamic and viscoelastic properties of gastrodin nasal *in situ* gels (30).

A rheological transition point for gastrodin nasal *in situ* gels was observed at 25°C (Figs. 5 and 6). When the temperature was below 25°C, the elastic and viscous modulus dramatically increased, indicating significantly increased viscosity and elasticity of the gastrodin *in situ* gels. Therefore, the design of a spray delivery device should have sufficient driving force for application under low temperature conditions.

When the *in situ* gels were mixed with ANF, a gel immediately formed and the elastic and viscous modulus significantly increased, whereas the phase angle decreased to less than 30° (Figs. 7, 8, and 9). When the scanning



Fig. 8. Viscous modulus of the *in situ* gels mixed with different amounts of ANF at $32^{\circ}C$

frequencies exceeded 0.5 Hz, these parameters showed no frequency-dependent solid-state characteristics (30). When the ratio of ANF to the *in situ* gel increased from 1:4 to 2:4, both the viscosity and elasticity of the formed gel increased accordingly. However, because of the dilution effect, when the ratio increased to 4:4, all parameters slightly decreased.

In Situ Gel Stability

Based on visual identification, the *in situ* gel remained in the liquid state for 6 months at $40\pm2^{\circ}$ C without turbidity or gelation. CCC, pH, content, and related substance analysis results also show no changes over 6 months. Therefore, the gastrodin nasal *in situ* gel is very stable. Based on other gels and other gastrodin preparations in market, the shelf life of gastrodin nasal *in situ* gel is expected to reach more than 2 years. Exact shelf life should be determined by the longterm stability test.

Nasal Cilitoxicity of the In Situ Gels

A complete evaluation of nasal toxicity must consider irritation of the nasal mucosa, damage to nasal epithelial cells, and effects on nasal ciliary function (motility). These three indices of nasal toxicity generally show a parallel relationship (31). An evaluation of the toxicity on nasal cilia is much more convenient; thus, this index was used to evaluate the general nasal toxicity using the toad palate and rat nasal mucociliary method (32).

Gastrodin *in situ* gels showed no obvious cilia toxicity (Table III). Scanning electron microscope images are shown in Fig. 10. In the physiological saline group, cilia were arranged in neat rows and distributed on the surface of rat nasal mucosa. Similar to the physiological saline

Table III. Effect of Gastrodin and the *In Situ* Gels on CMT (n=3)

Samples	CMT (min)	RPC (%)	
Physiological saline	$1,274 \pm 94$	100	
gastrodin solution	$1,174\pm87*$	92.2	
Blank in situ gel	1,121±73*	88.0	
Gastrodin in situ gel	$1,105\pm82*$	86.7	

*P>0.05, compared with negative control

group, the mucosa exposed to the *in situ* gels exhibited no cilia loss, lodging or other anomalies. As a positive control group, sodium deoxycholate caused significant nasal cilia toxicity.



Fig. 10. Scanning electron microphotographs (\times 3,000) of rat nasal mucocilia of rats after intranasal administration of physiological saline **a**, gastrodin nasal *in situ* gels **b**, or sodium deoxycholate **c** for 7 days

Groups	Dose (mg kg ⁻¹)	Writhing times	Inhibition ratio (%)
Physiological saline	_	8.2±3.6	_
I.g. gastrodin	100	3.7±1.2**	54.9
I.n. in situ gel	25	4.7±2.8*	42.7
	50	3.5±1.4**	57.3
	100	3.1±1.2**	62.2

*P<0.05; **P<0.01, compared with negative control

Pharmacodynamic Actions of the In Situ Gels

The results of the acetic acid-induced writhing test are presented in Table IV. Compared with the negative control group, the nasal *in situ* gel groups showed a significant reduction in the number of writhing episodes induced by acetic acid. Nasal administration of 50 mg/kg gastrodin nasal gel shows an analgesic effect similar to that observed following oral administration of 100 mg/kg gastrodin.

The results of the pentobarbital sodium-induced sleeping time test are shown in Table V. No group showed significant differences in sleep latency. However, the highest nasal dose group showed a significantly longer sleeping time, suggesting that gastrodin has a sedative effect. Furthermore, the nasal preparation also prolonged sleep time relative to the equaldose oral group (100 mg/kg), indicating that the sedative effect of the nasal *in situ* gel is stronger than that of the oral solution.

CONCLUSIONS

The preparation of gastrodin nasal *in situ* gels via mixing of gastrodin, DGG, and ethylparaben with deionized water is very simple. The *in situ* gels possess the best properties, including phase-transition capacity, anti-dilution capacity, water-holding capacity, and adhesive capacity, when the DGG concentration is 0.5% (*w*/*v*). The gastrodin *in situ* gels are stable and show no obvious cilitoxicity. Animal experiments suggest that gastrodin *in situ* gels can be more effective as an analgesic and sedative compared with the oral solution. Therefore, the *in situ* gelling system is a promising approach for the intranasal delivery of gastrodin. It is highly effective and the preparation method is suitable for industrial production.

Table V. Effect of Gastrodin Nasal In Situ Gels on the HypnoticEffect of Pentobarbital Sodium in Mice (n=10)

Groups	Dose (mg kg ⁻¹)	Sleep latency (min)	Sleep time (min)
Physiological saline	-	4.9 ± 1.6	37.6±15.3
I.g. gastrodin	100	3.9 ± 1.3	83.2±26.8*
I.n. in situ gel	25	5.0 ± 1.9	53.6 ± 22.2
	50	4.1 ± 2.2	92.5±30.7*
	100	3.6 ± 1.5	117.3±27.2*, **

*P<0.01, compared with negative control; **P<0.05, compared with positive control

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 30902009) and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (no. 10451008901004959).

REFERENCES

- Ojemann LM, Nelson WL, Shin DS, Rowe AO, Buchanan RA. Tian ma, an ancient Chinese herb, offers new options for the treatment of epilepsy and other conditions. Epilepsy Behav. 2006;8:376–83.
- Zhao H. Advance in clinical application of gastrodin. Strait Pharm J. 2009;21:29–31.
- Zeng X, Zhang Y, Zhang S, Zheng X. A microdialysis study of effects of gastrodin on neurochemical changes in the ischemic/reperfused rat cerebral hippocampus. Biol Pharm Bull. 2007;30:801–4.
- Guo Z, Tan T, Zhong Y, Wu C. Study of the mechanism of gastrodin and derivatives of gastrodigenin. J West Chin Univ Med Sci. 1991;22:79–82.
- 5. Wang Q, Chen G, Zeng S. Pharmacokinetics of Gastrodin in rat plasma and CSF after i.n. and i.v. Int J Pharm. 2007;341:20–5.
- 6. Illum L. Transport of drugs from the nasal cavity to the central nervous system. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2000;11:1–18.
- 7. Watts P, Smith A. PecSys: *in situ* gelling system for optimised nasal drug delivery. Expert Opin Drug Deliv. 2009;6:543–52.
- 8. Nagarwal RC, Pandit JK. Phase transition system: novel oral *insitu* gel. Curr Drug Deliv. 2008;5:282–9.
- Jansson B, Hagerstrom H, Fransen N, Edsman K, Bjork E. The influence of gellan gum on the transfer of fluorescein dextran across rat nasal epithelium *in vivo*. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2005;59:557–64.
- 10. Balasubramaniam J, Kant S, Pandit JK. *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of the Gelrite gellan gum-based ocular delivery system for indomethacin. Acta Pharm. 2003;53:251–61.
- Kubo W, Miyazaki S, Attwood D. Oral sustained delivery of paracetamol from *in situ*-gelling gellan and sodium alginate formulations. Int J Pharm. 2003;258:55–64.
- Narayana RC, Harish NM, Gulzar AM, Prabhakara P, Singh AK, Subrahmanyam EV. Formulation and *in vitro* evaluation of *in situ* gels containing secnidazole for vaginitis. Yakugaku Zasshi. 2009;129:569–74.
- 13. Funami T, Noda S, Nakauma M, Ishihara S, Takahashi R, Al-Assaf S, *et al.* Molecular structures of gellan gum imaged with atomic force microscopy in relation to the rheological behavior in aqueous systems in the presence or absence of various cations. J Agric Food Chem. 2008;56:8609–18.
- 14. Cao SL, Ren XW, Zhang QZ, Chen E, Xu F, Chen J, *et al. In situ* gel based on gellan gum as new carrier for nasal administration of mometasone furoate. Int J Pharm. 2009;365:109–15.

- Lorin MI, Gaerlan PF, Mandel ID. Quantitative composition of nasal secretions in normal subjects. J Lab Clin Med. 1972;80:275–81.
- Mei D, Mao S, Sun W, Wang Y, Kissel T. Effect of chitosan structure properties and molecular weight on the intranasal absorption of tetramethylpyrazine phosphate in rats. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2008;70:874–81.
- 17. Hirai S, Yashiki T, Matsuzawa T, Mima H. Absorption of drugs from the nasal mucosa of rat. Int J Pharm. 1981;7:317–25.
- Lu Y, Chen X, Du S, Wu Q, Yao Z, Zhai Y. The *in situ* and *in vivo* study on enhancing effect of borneol in nasal absorption of Geniposide in rats. Arch Pharm Res. 2010;33:691–6.
- Cai Ż, Hou S, Li Y, Zhao B, Yang Z, Xu S, *et al.* Effect of borneol on the distribution of gastrodin to the brain in mice via oral administration. J Drug Target. 2008;16:178–84.
- Tao T, Zhao Y, Yue P, Dong WX, Chen QH. Preparation of huperzine A nasal *in situ* gel and evaluation of its brain targeting following intranasal administration. Acta Pharm Sin. 2006;41:1104–10.
- 21. Guinee TP, Feeney EP, Auty MA, Fox PF. Effect of pH and calcium concentration on some textural and functional properties of mozzarella cheese. J Dairy Sci. 2002;85:1655–69.
- Asai K, Morishita M, Katsuta H, Hosoda S, Shinomiya K, Noro M, *et al.* The effects of water-soluble cyclodextrins on the histological integrity of the rat nasal mucosa. Int J Pharm. 2002;246:25–35.
- Jiang XG, Lu X, Cui JB, Qiu L, Xi NZ. Studies on octanol-water partition coefficient and nasal drug absorption. Acta Pharm Sin. 1997;32:458–60.
- Illum L. Nasal drug delivery—possibilities, problems and solutions. J Control Release. 2003;87:187–98.
- Choi HG, Jung JH, Ryu JM, Yoon SJ, Oh YK, Kim CK. Development of *in situ-gelling and mucoadhesive acetamino*phen liquid suppository. Int J Pharm. 1998;165:33–44.
- 26. Wei G, Xu H, Ding PT, Li SM, Zheng JM. Thermosetting gels with modulated gelation temperature for ophthalmic use: the rheological and gamma scintigraphic studies. J Control Release. 2002;83:65–74.
- Chung H, Dong H. Synthesis and characterisation of pluronic grafted chitosan copolymer as a novel injectable biomaterial. Curr Appl Phys. 2005;5:485–8.
- Mao R, Tang J, Swanson BG. Water holding capacity and microstructure of gellan gels. Carbohydr Polym. 2001;46:365–71.
- Lim ST, Martin GP, Berry DJ, Brown MB. Preparation and evaluation of the *in vitro* drug release properties and mucoadhesion of novel microspheres of hyaluronic acid and chitosan. J Control Release. 2000;66:281–92.
- Edsman K, Carlfors J, Harju K. Rheological evaluation and ocular contact time of some carbomer gels for ophthalmic use. Int J Pharm. 1996;137:233–41.
- Shusterman D. Toxicology of nasal irritants. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2003;3:258–65.
- Merkus FW, Verhoef JC, Schipper NG, Marttin E. Nasal mucociliary clearance as a factor in nasal drug delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 1998;29:13–38.